IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Writ Petition No. 9184 of 2004

Maharashtra Suraksha Rakshak
Mahasangh ..Petitioner

vs.

1. The Security Guards Board for
Gr.Bombay and Thane District
and others ..Respondents

Shri Susheel Mahadeshwar for petitioner.
Shri M.S.Topkar for respondent no.1
Shri B.K.Ashok for respondent no.2.

CORAM: A.P.SHAH AND
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI JJ
1st December,2004

P.C.

1. Heard Advocates.

2. Shri Ashok for respondent no.2 submits that respondent no.2 has taken a decision not to hire the security guards either from private security guards agencies or from Security Guards Board but respondent no.2 will employ them diretly on permanent basis.

3. Learned Counsel for respondent no.2 further submits that since the security guards are not required by respondent no.2 he would discontinue 33 guards listed in Exh.A to the petition within one month. He also assures the Court that the salary

for said period will be paid to the security guards.

4. Shri Mahadeshwar states that the security guards will apply to the respondent no.1 Board for their enrolment within one week from today. In case the application is received respondent no.1 Board shall register them as per the security guards scheme and put their names in the waiting list and provide them work in accordance with the Scheme.

5. Petition is disposed of accordingly.

curity

.....

.....(9184 #1 PL60)

guards.

i